

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,863	01/28/2002	Scott R. Brown	ATA-5	3628
. 75	90 02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
SQUARE D COMPANY 1415 South Roselle Road			DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L	
Palatine, IL 60			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/058,863	BROWN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert DeBeradinis	2836			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti sly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/12/0-4.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.				
10)□	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, -, ,				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2836

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The reply filed 11/12/04 consists of addition of new claims 21-24 and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable for the following reasoning.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 6, 7, filed 11/12/04, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-15 under BROWN have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of BROWN 6,825,435 and GIANNOPOULOS 6,400,127.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

----

Art Unit: 2836

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BROWN 6,825,435 in view of GIANNOPOULOS 6,400,127.

Regarding claims 1, 2.

### **BROWN** discloses;

a controller (5) for monitoring a component of DC power, evaluating said monitored component and providing an output signal in response to said evaluation of said monitored component;

an output switch operating in response to said output signal for selectively providing said DC power at a constant average current to an electrical device connected electrically in series with said DC power and said output switch (8).

#### BROWN does not disclose;

a full-wave bridge providing a rectified DC power output,

a micro-controller for monitoring a component of said rectified DC power.

GIANNOPOULOS discloses a dual mode pulse-width modulator for power control applications comprising;

a full-wave bridge (612) providing a rectified DC power output,

a micro-controller (202) for monitoring and controlling DC power output (Vo).

\_ \_ \_ \_

Art Unit: 2836

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to have modified the power supply and control equipment disclosed by BROWN to have a full wave bridge and a microprocessor. The motivation would be to rectify an ac source to provide the required DC source, eliminating the need to replace a battery and the micro-controller (processor) providing control flexibility for accommodating different supply voltage requirements.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BROWN 6,825,435 in view of GIANNOPOULOS 6,400,127 in further view of GARCES 5,450,306.

Regarding claims 3-6

BROWN in view of GIANNOPOULOS discloses the circuit of claim 1.

BROWN in view of GIANNOPOULOS does not disclose wherein said monitored component is evaluated with respect to a set point measured in volt-seconds.

GRARCES discloses a closed loop pulse width modulator inverter with voltseconds feedback control (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to evaluate the monitored component with respect to a set point measured in volt-seconds. The motivation would be to regulate the output AC voltage of the output switch (8).

. - -

Art Unit: 2836

Claims 7-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BROWN 6,825,435 in view of GIANNOPOULOS 6,400,127 in further view of GARCES 5,450,306 in further view of PERREIRA WO 95/29498.

Regarding claims 7-24.

BROWN in view of GIANNOPOULOS in further view of GARCES disclose a full-wave bridge rectifier providing a rectified DC power output; a micro- controller for monitoring a component of said rectified DC power at evenly spaced intervals, evaluating said monitored component with respect to a set point and providing an output signal in response to said evaluation of said monitored component; an output switch operating in response to said output signal for selectively providing said rectified DC power at a constant average current to an electrical device connected electrically in series with said full-wave bridge rectifier and said output switch.

BROWN in view of GIANNOPOULOS in further view of GARCES does not teach a sag compensator circuit.

PERREIRA discloses a DC actuator control circuit with voltage source sag compensation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to provide an open loop voltage sag compensator circuit. The motivation would be to supply a controlled voltage to drive an inductive load. Application/Control Number: 10/058,863 Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L.

DeBeradinis whose number is (571) 272-2049. The Examiner can normally be reached

Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (571) 272-2058. The Fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

RLD

FEBRUARY 4, 2005

ROBERT L. DEBERADINIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER